CENTRAL LANE SCENARIO PLANNING

Draft scenario policies and outcomes

| POLICY | SCENARIO A | SCENARIO B | SCENARIO C | |
|-------------------------------|--|---|--|--|
| CATEGORY | REFERENCE CASE | ENHANCE EXISTING POLICIES | EXPLORE NEW POLICIES | |
| TRANSIT | EmX system expands to 5 lines | Expand EmX system to 7 lines | Upgrade high performing EmX lines to other higher capacity mo | |
| | Some expansion of regular fixed route service | Enhance feeder routes to EmX | Reconfigure system to enhance ridership on frequent transit network | |
| | | Improve stop amenities | (FTN) and provide better feeder service | |
| | | More routes added to frequent transit network (FTN) | Reduce transit fares | |
| | | Implement projects that increase transit reliability | • Encourage development at maximum densities near frequent transit | |
| | | Develop to higher densities along EmX corridors consistent with | network routes | |
| | | maximum allowable densities | Increase service frequencies across a variety of routes | |
| | | Implement E-fare system | | |
| | | | | |
| CYCLING AND WALKING | Many projects built, but not all due to funding constraints | Require developers to provide high quality infrastructure | Increase share of regional transportation dollars spent on | |
| | More people travel by bike | Build majority of planned cycling and walking projects | cycling/walking; also increase total amount spent | |
| | | • Implement bike share program, increase use of e-bikes | Implement road diets | |
| | | | Expand off-street trails and paths | |
| | | | Improve access to transit stops by biking and walking | |
| PRICING | Paid parking expands to downtown Springfield | Increase daily average parking rate to \$6.00 (\$2005) | Expand areas of the region where drivers must pay for parking | |
| ricing | State gas tax keeps pace with inflation | • Increase state and local gas taxes | Expand areas were employees pay to park | |
| | State gas tax reeps pace with illiation | • 50% of drivers adopt pay-as-you-drive insurance | Mandate pay-as-you drive insurance for most drivers | |
| | | 30/3 of drivers ddopt pay as you drive insurance | Implement carbon tax | |
| | | | - implement carbon tax | |
| EDUCATION AND MARKETING | Travel options programs are expanded consistent with the RTOP | Fund workplace commute option programs and individual travel | Fund workplace commute option programs and individual travel | |
| | Workplace commute options participation increases | reduction marketing programs at higher levels | reduction marketing programs at maximum levels | |
| | commensurate to population and employment growth | Expand carsharing to more neighborhoods | Expand transit pass program beyond large employers | |
| | I and the second | | | |















Table 2. Scenario outcomes

| CATEGORY | CRITERIA | UNIT OF MEASURE | SCENARIO A REFERENCE CASE | SCENARIO B ENHANCE EXISTING POLICIES | SCENARIO C EXPLORE NEW POLICIES |
|---|--|---|--|---|---|
| | Driving costs as percentage of household income ¹ | % of average household income | 19.1% | 19.0% | 18.8% |
| ECONOMY AND PROSPERITY | Average household income by housing type | \$2005 | | Multi-family: \$45,500 Single family: \$67,500 | |
| | Parking costs | Average regional daily parking cost (\$2005) | \$2.74 | \$6.00 | \$6.00 |
| | Value of time lost to congestion ² | \$ per person per year (\$2005) | \$513 | \$363 | \$300 |
| | Greenhouse gas emissions per capita | Tons CO2/year | 1.29 | 1.04 | 0.97 |
| ENERGY CONSUMPTION AND GHG EMISSIONS | State greenhouse gas emissions reductions target | Meets or does not meet target | Does not meet target | Meets target | Meets target |
| | Petroleum fuel consumption | Gallons per capita per year | 151 | 120 | 111 |
| | Vehicles miles travelled | VMT/capita (daily) | 22.3 | 19.1 | 17.6 |
| | Transit service | Revenue miles/capita (daily) | 18 | 28 | 30 |
| | Bicycle travel ³ | Bicycle miles travelled/capita (daily) | 0.5 | 1.6 | 1.9 |
| TRANSPORTATION | Pedestrian travel | Walk trips/capita (annual) | 123 | 124 | 125 |
| | Transit ridership | Total annual ridership | T.B.D. | T.B.D. | T.B.D. |
| | Vehicle ownership | Average no. of vehicles/household | 1.9 | 1.8 | 1.8 |
| | Hours of congestion | Hours per capita per year | 41 | 29 | 24 |
| AIR QUALITY | Criteria air pollutant emissions | % reduction or increase in pollutants (as compared to Reference Case) | - | -18% | -24% |
| | Legal, legislative, or regulatory barriers to implementation | Qualitative assessment | None. Scenario A is based on current policy direction. | Parking fees must be increased. State must mandate universal pay-as-you-drive insurance e. Local governments must increase local gas tax. | State must implement VMT fee and mandatory pay-as-you-drive insurance. Regional share of funds spent on cycling and transit must increase significantly. |
| FEASIBILITY | Public/private infrastructure costs | Qualitative assessment | This scenario is fiscally constrained and can be achieved with existing revenue sources. Most infrastructure costs would be public. | This scenario would require private developers to build more infrastructure. Public infrastructure costs would also go up, funded by increased revenues. | This scenario would have the highest public infrastructure costs. Private infrastructure costs would be the same as Scenario B. |
| | Local revenue from VMT fee or gas tax | Annual \$ per capita | \$79 | \$118 | \$216 |
| | Political or public acceptability | Qualitative assessment | T.B.D. | T.B.D. | T.B.D. |
| HEALTH | Physical activity per capita | Number of walk and bike miles per week | Walk: 1.1 Bike: 3.7 | Walk: 1.1 Bike: 11.2 | Walk: 1.1 Bike: 13.4 |

¹ Includes both average annual vehicle ownership and operating costs.

² Value of time for personal trips is assumed to be \$12.50 per hour. From US Department of Transportation (2011).

 $^{^{3}}$ This criterion represents the number of miles "diverted" from car travel and instead travelled by bike.

| CATEGORY | CRITERIA | UNIT OF MEASURE | SCENARIO A REFERENCE CASE | SCENARIO B ENHANCE EXISTING POLICIES | SCENARIO C EXPLORE NEW POLICIES |
|----------|---|--|---|---|---|
| | Health benefits from increased walking and biking | Annual number of premature deaths avoided due to physical activity | 11 | 44 | 50 |
| | Chronic illness incidence | % reduction or increase | T.B.D. | T.B.D. | T.B.D. |
| | Annual cost savings due to reduced disease burden | \$ | \$4,000,000 | \$30,000,000 | \$38,000,000 |
| | Annual change in fatal or injury accidents | Increase in number of fatal or injury crashes over base year | Injury or fatalities: 4 Fatalities only: 1 | Injury or fatalities: 3 Fatalities only: 1 | Injury or fatalities: 2 Fatalities only: 1 |
| EQUITY | Driving costs as percentage of household income | % of average household income | Driving costs as a percentage of household income are similar across scenarios. However, Scenarios B and C include increased availability of other modes (like transit and cycling) that may decrease the overall cost of travel for lower-income residents. This is dependent on whether improvements to cycling, walking, and transit are made in areas where low-income households live and work – if not, there may be a negative effect on equity. Low-income drivers may proportionately pay far more for travel. | | |
| | Average household income by housing type | \$ | The average household income by housing type does not change across scenarios. | | |
| | Physical activity per capita | Number of walk and bike miles per week | Positive effects are likely if cycling ar households live and work. | nd walking improvements are made in area | as where low-income and minority |