

MINUTES

Eugene City Council
Council Chamber--City Hall

January 22, 1996
7:30 p.m.

COUNCILORS PRESENT: Tim Laue, Laurie Swanson Gribkov, Shawn Boles, Pat Farr, Kevin Hornbuckle, Barbara Keller, Nancy Nathanson, Jim Torrey.

Her Honor Mayor Ruth Bascom called the meeting to order.

I. CONSENT CALENDAR

A. Approval of City Council Minutes of October 23, 1995, Dinner Work Session; October 30, 1995, Special Meeting; November 8, 1995, Lunch Work Session; November 13, 1995, Dinner Work Session; and November 13, 1995, Meeting

B. Resolution Concerning Call for Public Hearing: Proposed Withdrawals from Special Districts

Res. No. 4475--A resolution calling a public hearing to consider proposed withdrawal of territories (Stiffler, ED EU 95-81; Sherman, EC EU 95-80; Briggs, EC EU 95-66; Ellis EC EU 95-67; Fairchild ED EU 95-71; Tuski EC EU 95-85; Lahmann, EC EU 95-86; Root EC EU 95-90; and Sheets, EC EU 95-91) from the River Road Water District and River Road Park and Recreation District; proposed withdrawal of territories (Harris, C EU 95-64; Smith/Guerra, C EU 95-75; Ziebert, C EU 95-65' Jacl;e,am, C EU 95-77; and Irving/Rauber, C EU 95-64) from the Santa Clara Water District; proposed withdrawal of territory (Coast Resources, EC EU 95-92) from the Willamalane Park and Recreation District; and the proposed withdrawal of territory (Peterson, C EU 94-16) from the Junction City Water Control District.

C. Ratification of Council Officers' Recommendations of December 8, 1995, and January 9, 1996

D. Ratification of January 17, 1996, Election of City Council Officers

Ms. Keller pulled the minutes of November 8, 1995, Lunch Work Session and November 13, 1995, Dinner Work Session from the Consent Calendar for discussion at the end of the agenda.

Mr. Laue moved, seconded by Ms. Swanson Gribkov, to approve the remainder of the Consent Calendar. The motion carried unanimously, 8:0.

II. ACTION: RECOMMENDATIONS FROM CITIZEN ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR FERRY STREET BRIDGE CORRIDOR

Dave Reinhard, Public Works, clarified issues raised at the last meeting. He reported that the preservation and enhancement project was proceeding. Mr. Reinhard also referred to the flow chart in the packet, noting that the TransPlan process was where major decisions would be made.

Mr. Laue moved, seconded by Ms. Swanson Gribkov, to accept the Ferry Street CAC Final Recommendations report; refer the Finance Plan, including funding and timing of the Valley River Bridge and strategic land acquisition, to the Multi-Year Financial Strategy; refer the overall proposed transportation system, sequencing and financing plan to the TransPlan Update for consideration and adoption.

Mr. Boles asked whether the items were intended to be ranked in order of importance. Mr. Reinhard responded that the top three items reflected the ranking of the committee.

Mr. Boles moved, seconded by Ms. Keller to switch the order of items number 1 and 4, so that Transportation Demand Management (TDM) efforts were first.

The council discussed the amendment, with Mr. Torrey and Mr. Farr expressing concern about "locking in" a sequence at this stage. Ms. Nathanson clarified that accepting and referring the report did not imply taking action. Mr. Boles emphasized that his amendment was to change the order of the items which staff identified as being ranked. Jerry Diethelm, Consultant, told the council that the committee's focus on the Valley River Bridge was due to the acknowledged need for additional capacity. Mr. Diethelm said the committee intended for TDM to occur in parallel to other items, not in a specific order.

The amendment carried 6:2, with Mr. Torrey and Mr. Laue voting in opposition.

Ms. Swanson Gribkov moved to amend the motion to identify the top four items of highest priority. The motion died for lack of a second.

Ms. Keller thanked the committee for working toward a street grid concept and dispersal of traffic. However, she expressed concern about the financial strategies contained in the report.

Ms. Keller moved, seconded by Mr. Torrey to remove acceptance of the financial strategies outlined in the report, pending further study.

The vote on the amendment was 4:4, with Mr. Boles, Mr. Laue, Ms. Swanson Gribskov and Mr. Farr voting in opposition. Mayor Bascom voted in opposition, causing the amendment to fail, 5:4.

In response to questions, City Attorney Glenn Klein told the council it was not taking any action that was legally binding, but accepting and referring the report, which can be changed within other processes.

The main motion carried 7:1, with Mr. Hornbuckle voting in opposition.

III. RESOLUTION ACKNOWLEDGING RECEIPT OF CITY OF EUGENE FY95 COMPREHENSIVE ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT

Res. No. 4476--A resolution acknowledging receipt of the Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) for the City of Eugene for the fiscal year ended June 30, 1995.

Administrative Services Director Warren Wong reviewed the report, noting that the auditors had issued an unqualified opinion, and, equally significant, had no findings in the Federal single audit, which was unusual and spoke well for staff work.

Ms. Swanson Gribskov commended the staff and asked about rotating auditing firms, clarifying that she had confidence in Coopers and Lybrand. Mr. Wong responded that the General Accounting Office and the Government Finance Officers Association recommended keeping an auditor for at least five years. Mr. Wong said that any decision to request proposals for a new firm would be based on professional management judgment and knowledge of the firm.

Ms. Keller thanked staff for their work, pointing out that within the context of the federal budget crisis, the City was doing a good job with the public's money. She acknowledged the many hours put in by City Council members and members of the Budget Committee.

Mr. Hornbuckle made a distinction between financial responsibility and economic fairness, stating that the City Council tends to increase regressive taxation and cut services in order to balance the budget.

Mr. Boles asked about the cost-effectiveness of lowering audit fees for comprehensive staff work. Mr. Wong responded that the lower fees had to do with the use of automated tools. Mr. Boles pointed out that the audit shows that the City's accounts were well-managed, but were not an indication of policy prudence in how City resources were expended.

Mr. Torrey asked a member of the audit firm to comment on questions of how fiscally conservative the City is compared to other government entities. Don Doerr, Managing Partner, Coopers and Lybrand, was not comfortable making comparisons, but told the council it could feel proud of City staff's work. He said it was unusual to have no findings.

Mr. Laue moved, seconded by Ms. Swanson Gribkov, to adopt the resolution.

Mr. Laue expressed appreciation for the work of staff, council, and budget committee members.

The motion carried 7:1, with Mr. Hornbuckle voting in opposition. Mr. Hornbuckle asked to change his vote to an abstention after being ruled out of order by Mayor Bascom.

Mayor Bascom adjourned the meeting of the City Council and convened a meeting of the Urban Renewal Agency.

IV. RESOLUTION ACKNOWLEDGING RECEIPT OF THE GENERAL PURPOSE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS OF THE URBAN RENEWAL AGENCY

Res. No. 982--A resolution acknowledging receipt of the "General Purpose Financial Statements of the Urban Renewal agency, a Component Unit of the City of Eugene, Oregon, for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 1995

Mr. Laue moved, seconded by Ms. Swanson Gribkov, to adopt the resolution. The motion carried unanimously, 8:0.

V. ACTION: FINAL DESIGN FOR WILLAMETTE PLAZA

Jan Bohman, Planning and Development, reviewed the packet memo, responding to issues raised by council at its work session. She told the council that there was concern about raising private funds for portions of the project to be phased in later, since the momentum could be lost after the initial construction phase was completed.

Mr. Laue moved, seconded by Ms. Swanson Gribkov, to adopt the final plaza design recommendation of the Broadway/Willamette Plaza Design Committee, including priorities for reductions if needed, and to direct staff to proceed with final bid documents and bidding.

Mr. Boles objected to making adjustments to the package, stating, "if you're going to do it, do it right." He suggested that the item should be brought back to the council if bids exceed the budget.

Mr. Boles offered a friendly amendment to strike the reductions from the motion. Mr. Laue and Ms. Swanson Gribskov agreed.

Mr. Torrey, Mr. Farr, and Ms. Keller expressed concern about bicycle parking. Ms. Bohman said the plan would provide enough bicycle parking to accommodate at least the current level.

Ms. Keller moved, seconded by Mr. Boles, to amend the plan to include a significant number of bicycle parking facilities

Ms. Nathanson offered a friendly amendment to include the words "in the immediate vicinity." Ms. Keller and Mr. Boles concurred.

Ms. Bohman told the council that the plan was to ask the City bicycle coordinator to site the locations for bicycle parking, with the intent to keep parking on the perimeter of the plaza area. Ms. Norris said the item could come back to council after the bicycle coordinator makes a recommendation.

The amendment carried unanimously, 8:0.

Ms. Keller moved, seconded by Mr. Hornbuckle, to amend the plan to remove any curbs within the plaza area.

Les Lyle, City Engineer, told the council that, while there were no curbs in the center circular area, removing all curbs in the plaza area would require the addition of bollards along the full length of the plaza adjacent to Willamette Street, and would change the character of the design.

The amendment failed 5:3, with Ms. Keller, Mr. Hornbuckle, and Mr. Boles voting in the affirmative.

The main motion carried 7:1, with Ms. Keller voting in opposition.

Mr. Laue moved, seconded by Ms. Swanson Gribskov, to allocate \$520,000 in urban renewal funds for the Broadway/Willamette Plaza project.

Ms. Swanson Gribskov explained that she had brought up phasing because of concerns about the balance of the urban renewal fund, and unanswered questions about other urban renewal projects.

Ms. Swanson Gribskov moved, seconded by Ms. Nathanson, to amend the motion to phase the projects, allocating approximately \$330,000 for the main portion of the project, completing the amenities in 97/98.

In response to questions, Abe Farkas, Planning and Development Director, said the urban renewal fund is increasing as the value of the district appreciates. Mr. Laue and Ms. Keller argued that phasing was not fiscally prudent.

The amendment failed, 7:1, with Ms. Swanson Gribkov voting in favor.

Ms. Keller said she would vote against the main motion. She said it was a breach of the public trust to use urban renewal funds without looking carefully at the fund first.

The main motion carried 7:1, with Ms. Keller voting in opposition.

Mr. Laue moved, seconded by Ms. Swanson Gribkov, to acknowledge LTD's intention, as stated in their letter of January 19, to run no more than five to six buses per hour on the reopened portion of Willamette, and to postpone use of that portion for buses until the opening of the new station in January 1998; and, to direct staff to bring the issue back to council for review six months following bus use of the street.

Mr. Boles suggested that staff look at both frequency and timing of buses. He said he would be willing to consider more buses at peak times in exchange for less at other times. Mr. Boles also expressed support for LTD's move to smaller, more appropriately scaled buses. Mr. Torrey agreed.

Ms. Keller argued that buses did not belong on Willamette Street, and that pedestrian use should be first priority.

The motion carried 6:2, with Ms. Keller and Mr. Hornbuckle voting in opposition.

The council took a break from 9:10 to 9:15 p.m.

Mayor Bascom adjourned the meeting of the Urban Renewal District and reconvened the meeting of the Eugene City Council.

VI. PUBLIC HEARING: RECOMMENDED CHANGES TO THE MAY 1995 DRAFT EAST ALTON BAKER PARK PLAN

Ms. Nathanson recused herself from this item due to the involvement of her employer, the University of Oregon. Mr. Boles said he had consulted legal counsel and determined it was not necessary for him to recuse himself.

Teresa Bishow, Planning and Development, reminded the council that it had delayed action on this item until the citizen planning committee could meet with representatives from the University of Oregon (UO) to negotiate the issue of parking for Autzen stadium events south of Leo Harris Parkway. Ms. Bishow told the council that the two unimproved parking areas in East Alton Baker Park constituted about 2.67 acres, accommodating about 400 cars. For comparison, the paved parking area in the western portion of Alton Baker Park consists of about 2.14 acres, accommodating about 300 cars.

Mayor Bascom opened the public hearing.

Wayne Hill, 2870 Chateau Place, chair of the East Alton Baker Park Citizen Planning Committee, thanked the council for the opportunity to serve on the committee, supported adoption of the plan with the recommended changes, and offered to answer questions.

W. Riley "Tex" Matsler, 2645 Chula Vista Drive, distributed a letter to councilors objecting to the committee process. Mr. Matsler asked the council to allow staff to work with members of the public to develop a plan that included recreation facilities.

Catherine Larson, 1826 Lincoln Street, spoke on behalf of six members of the committee, asking the council to adopt the original, rather than the revised plan. Ms. Larson said the proposed parking areas were not consistent with the public's expressed desire to create a passive recreation area. Parking by the University eliminates passive recreation uses of the area.

Amy Klauke, President of Nearby Nature, urged the council to adopt the original version of the plan. Ms. Klauke said Nearby Nature would assist in the restoration of the two unpaved parking areas to natural areas.

Dave Sweet, 681 East 32nd Avenue, objected to both the BMX and the University of Oregon uses of the park.

Chris Ramey, 2063 Kincaid Street, spoke on behalf of the University of Oregon, in support of the revised plan. Mr. Ramey said both the BMX and the parking were non-conforming, but pre-existing, uses. He said continuous parking had occurred on the two unpaved parking areas south of Leo Harris Parkway for 30 years. The areas had been considered part of the supply for Autzen Stadium event parking. He referred to the stringent conditions applied to the two parking areas, including a tailgating ban, construction of a berm and barriers, and a user fee.

Charles Biggs, 2405 Willakenzie Road #1, member of the citizen committee, supported the revised plan, calling it a "win-win" situation. He said the University made a number of agreements for using the parking areas that would provide reclamation and revenue for the park.

Gary Ross, 832 Jannette, representing Willamalane Parks and Recreation District on the committee, explained his support for the revised plan. Mr. Ross said the University had made significant compromises to be able to continue parking in the park. Mr. Ross also remarked that the committee had come to consensus on every other part of the plan.

Mayor Bascom closed the public hearing.

Mr. Laue moved, seconded by Ms. Swanson Gribkov, to adopt the May 1995 Draft East Alton Baker Park Plan with the recommended changes

forwarded by the East Alton Baker Park Citizen Planning Committee.

Mr. Farr expressed support for the motion, adding his appreciation for the efforts of the committee and the University.

Ms. Keller moved, seconded by Mr. Hornbuckle, to remove recommendation #3 regarding UO parking, from the plan.

Ms. Keller argued that changes to accommodate the UO parking were not consistent with the City's transportation goals.

Mr. Hornbuckle thanked the committee for its work. He reminded the council that the charter amendment which the voters passed defined the area of the park as south of Leo Harris Parkway. Mr. Hornbuckle said adopting the original plan was consistent with the intent of voters.

Mr. Boles supported the original plan, stating that it was more consistent with land use goals adopted by the council.

Ms. Swanson Gribskov disagreed, noting that the UO use was pre-existing, similar to the BMX use.

Mayor Bascom pointed out that the council had asked the committee to negotiate with the UO, and since they had, their recommendations should be honored. Mr. Laue said he was impressed with the efforts by the UO to mitigate issues with parking in the Park.

The amendment failed 4:3, with Mr. Hornbuckle, Ms. Keller, and Mr. Boles voting in the affirmative.

The main motion passed unanimously, 7:0.

Councilor Nathanson rejoined the council.

VII. PUBLIC HEARING: HOUSING DISPERSAL PLAN

Planning Commissioner John VanLandingham introduced the topic, stating that the basic goals of the policy remained unchanged. Mr. VanLandingham said housing dispersal had been adopted by the City Council and the Planning Commission as a community goal in 1960. He said the problem was in the application of the policy.

Mayor Bascom opened the public hearing.

Al Johnson, 767 Willamette Street, Suite 2B, urged the council to adopt the plan. Mr. Johnson said it was a housekeeping issue to keep the council on track with its intent, adding that the plan would put everything that governs the permit process together.

Michael Bardossi, 4665 Larkwood Street, spoke against the plan, citing the Woodleaf project as an example. Mr. Bardossi said provisions had not been made to manage traffic and pedestrian safety.

Sandy Mart, 846 Foxboro Road, spoke against the plan, charging that the policy was being changed to accommodate the Woodleaf developer.

Ron Harrison, 894 East 43rd Avenue, identified himself as a retired police officer, and spoke against the plan, charging that it would spread crime and cause problems for the police department.

Dave Arts, 4680 Larkwood Street, spoke against the plan, distributed data on calls for police service, charging that rates were higher in low-income housing projects.

Linda Lu Kimmel, 2746 Kincaid Street, spoke against the plan, citing her opposition to the Woodleaf project. Ms. Lu expressed concern over page 203 of the council packet, which indicated the City Council had already adopted the plan.

Marlene Colbath, 2230 Churchill Street, expressed concern about the wording, supporting the word "prohibit" rather than "discourage." Ms. Colbath said the plan should be clear in light of the council request for a utility tax for affordable housing.

Candace Luis, 875 Foxboro Lane, opposed the plan, noting that a letter from Mr. Farkas had indicated the current plan was working. Ms. Luis suggested that problems would arise from an increase in population density.

Dave Sweet, 651 East 32nd Avenue, said the plan discriminated against low-income people.

Ken Bronson, 5445 Saratoga Street, urged the council not to eliminate the criteria for location, or the appeal process.

Eric Hiaasen, 31861 Owl Road, spoke against the changes, stating that the policy was unclear, and objecting to the concept of "tipping." Mr. Hiaasen said he did not want to see any neighborhood in Eugene become "bad." He encouraged the council to broaden its definition of dispersal and to retain criteria that aid in design and planning of neighborhoods. Finally, Mr. Hiaasen read an article from the *Eugene Weekly* newspaper, pointing out that growing up in a low-income project is stigmatizing.

Doug DuPriest, attorney, spoke on behalf of Fox Hollow neighbors. Mr. DuPriest highlighted a number of questions contained in his written comments.

Dennis Casady, PO Box 5028, charged that the City had proposed the change following the conditional use permit denial for the Woodleaf development, "obviously to accommodate it." Mr. Casady objected to a comment from Mayor

Bascom indicating that the council had already decided this issue. Mr. Casady suggested a development half the size of Woodleaf would have been accepted by neighbors, and asked for a show of hands of those who agreed.

Ruth Phelps, 5445 Donald Street, opposed the Woodleaf development, stating that the R-1 zoning should have precluded it. Ms. Phelps vowed to oppose the utility tax for this reason.

Deanne Golick, 5555 West Amazon Drive, opposed the changes, stating that they did not allow appeals. She urged the council to retain standards and criteria from the original policy.

Scott Mead, 5260 Donald Street, opposed the plan, citing examples of impacts of low-income housing projects.

Michael Stimeck, 5225 Fox Hollow Road, expressed concern about safety hazards from stormwater drainage from the proposed Woodleaf project.

Jackie Hughes, 31929 Owl Road, objected to the process, stating that her rights had been denied. Ms. Hughes said more time should have been allowed for public comment on such major changes.

There was no further public comment. Mayor Bascom closed the public hearing.

Mr. Laue moved, seconded by Mr. Torrey, to postpone action on this item until Wednesday.

Mr. Torrey commented that he was willing to keep the record open to allow anyone who wanted to testify to come forward.

Mr. Boles and Ms. Keller argued that it would be unfair to make the people come to another meeting in order to hear the outcome of the issue.

Mayor Bascom confirmed that she had told some people that she thought the City Council was committed to the Woodleaf project.

The vote on the motion was 4:4, with Mr. Boles, Mr. Laue, Ms. Keller, and Ms. Nathanson voting in opposition. Mayor Bascom voted in the affirmative, passing the motion 5:4.

In response to questions from Ms. Nathanson, Mr. VanLandingham confirmed that the Planning Commission had held two public hearings on this issue and kept the record open longer than usual. He said the motivation for changes in the plan was not due to the Woodleaf project, but the way the policy was being used as a "stop sign." Mr. VanLandingham said the council would need to decide whether to change the word "discourage" to "prohibit." Mr. VanLandingham answered a question about the neighbors' plan by saying that the Housing Policy Board is the entity who takes suggestions.

Ms. Keller asked what developments had been blocked under the current policy. Mr. VanLandingham responded that appeals included a proposed development at 38th Avenue & Hilyard Street which was never built, a development on Willakenzie which is now being built, and a development at Laurel Grove which has now been built. He added that there had been others.

Mr. Hornbuckle confirmed that Policy 2 hinged on the judgment of the City Council. He also asked about rental housing. Richie Weinman, Planning and Development Department, responded that the comprehensive plan contained three top goals, with rental housing identified as the highest need.

Through questions, Mr. Boles clarified that the policy was worded so that each project could be evaluated on its merits.

Mr. Torrey asked whether the changes needed to be as dramatic as they were. Mr. VanLandingham responded that the policy was being used to stop affordable housing projects, which was not consistent with the goal of encouraging them.

Mr. Torrey asked for a list of names and addresses of people who testified.

Mr. Nathanson asked about preparing findings in advance of a council meeting. Jerry Jacobson, Planning and Development, responded that it was standard practice to prepare findings, and to edit them after council action.

Mr. Laue asked whether most applicants for affordable housing were working women with children. Mr. Weinman responded that the majority were. Mr. Laue commented that the calls for service referred to in public testimony were not extraordinary compared to the total of 80,000-100,000. Mr. Weinman explained that investigation into the calls indicated that, at one subsidized development with a high number of calls, one individual with a history of mental illness had made many non-emergency 911 calls.

In response to a question from Mayor Bascom, Mr. Weinman confirmed that Federal Section 8 dollars were "shaky" at this time.

VIII. PUBLIC HEARING: ORDINANCE REVISIONS CONCERNING CONTROLLED INCOME AND RENT (CIR) HOUSING WITH INCREASED DENSITY

Mr. Jacobson told the council that the purpose of the revisions was to clarify wording to be consistent with the intent that CIR projects not be located in unsuitable areas.

Mayor Bascom opened the public hearing.

Mr. Klein confirmed that prior testimony was part of this record also.

Neale Hyatt, 740 Madison Street, testified that he had two problems with the proposed changes: 1) if the rule is being changed for a special purpose, it

leaves no limits, and 2) the public process was being ignored as indicated in the findings.

Al Johnson pointed out that the existing ordinance had resulted in a series of problems. Mr. Johnson said Woodleaf was the only project to be evaluated under the new language adopted March 6, 1995, which caused ambiguity. He said the change was to clarify the council's original intent.

Mr. Johnson placed into the record a copy of the Hearings Official's decision and a copy of the appeal.

Jean Tate, 5008 Blanton Road, said that single-family residences for low-income citizens would be ideal, but not possible because of the expense and the number of homeless and low-income people in the community. Ms. Tate added that Section 8 housing is always full with a long waiting list.

Lee Hughes, 31929 Owl Road, reviewed a history of the ordinance, stating that the CIR code had been changed substantially without a public hearing.

Doug DuPriest argued that notice of the August 8 Planning Commission hearing indicated it was limited to census changes. Mr. DuPriest also said the hearings official had ruled that the language was not ambiguous.

Charles Dallas, 4789 Fox Hollow, commended the City Council, the Planning Commission, and City staff for recognizing the housing needs in the community. Mr. Dallas said affordable housing was important, but that adopting the map and eliminating "tipping" would leave no limits until the next census. He urged the council to include tipping or make provisions for updating the map.

Mr. Laue moved, seconded by Mr. Torrey, to delay action on this item until Wednesday. The motion carried unanimously, 8:0.

IX. APPROVAL OF FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS FROM HEARINGS OFFICIAL AND PASSAGE OF AN ORDINANCE LEVYING ASSESSMENTS FOR ALLEY PAVING

Mr. Laue moved, seconded by Ms. Swanson Gribkov, to approve the Hearings Official's Findings and Recommendations of January 3, 1996. The motion carried 7:1, with Mr. Hornbuckle voting in opposition.

CB 4562--An ordinance levying assessments for alley paving between Garden Avenue and Franklin Boulevard from Walnut Street to Villard Street; and declaring an emergency. (Contract 95-05)(Job #3340)

Mr. Laue moved, seconded by Ms. Swanson Gribkov, that the bill, with unanimous consent of the council, be read the second time by council bill number only, and that enactment be considered at this time. The motion failed because of lack of unanimous consent,

7:1, with Mr. Hornbuckle voting in opposition.

Mayor Bascom asked whether any member of the council wished to have the bill read in full. No councilors requested a full reading. Mayor Bascom announced that the second reading would take place on Wednesday.

The council then returned to the minutes pulled from the Consent Calendar.

Ms. Keller made minor changes in the wording of the minutes from November 8, 1995 and November 13, 1995. She asked that paragraph 2 on page 5 of the November 8 minutes be changed to read: "She noted that the new phrases were not part of any proposal." Ms. Keller also asked that the second paragraph from the bottom on page 2 be changed to read: "The charges should be funded at \$165,000 annually from the General Fund in fiscal year 1997."

Mr. Laue moved, seconded by Ms. Swanson Gribkov, to adopt the minutes as amended. The motion carried unanimously, 8:0.

The meeting adjourned at 11:55 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,



Linda H. Norris
City Manager pro tem

(Recorded by Hannah Bradford)

cc73022.016