

JOINT ELECTED OFFICIALS AGENDA ITEM SUMMARY

Metro Plan Work Plan Report

Meeting Date: June 17, 2010

Agenda Item Number: 2

Contact: Greg Mott, Lisa Gardner, Kent Howe

BACKGROUND

On June 1, 2009 the Joint Elected Officials (JEO) directed staff from Eugene, Springfield and Lane County to develop a Metro Plan work plan, including timeline, cost estimates and implications for specific changes to the Metro Plan as recommended by the JEO subcommittee. The JEO recommendation stated that changes to the Metro Plan should address:

- a. Overarching policies that identify and address regional issues.
- b. Policies that allow for individual refinement plans for Eugene and Springfield to address jurisdiction-specific issues.
- c. Adjustments to the Metro Plan boundary and text to address jurisdictional specific issues arising in the urbanizable areas and the area outside the urban growth boundary.
- d. A dispute resolution process that reflects the changes described in a-c.

ISSUE STATEMENT

Springfield, Lane County and Eugene are about 80% complete with conceptual/draft language proposals amending the Metro Plan and addressing the JEO recommendations listed above. As the HB 3337 and JEO recommendations work has progressed, it has become apparent (as noted by DLCDC testimony in the record of the Springfield-Lane County planning commission hearing) that additional Metro Plan text conforming language amendments will be necessary in order to comprehensively address the changes brought about by separate urban growth boundaries for each city. Evaluation of the extent of potential conforming language amendments has revealed a third layer of necessary Metro Plan amendments: housekeeping edits prompted by previous legislation (i.e. abolishment of the Lane County Local Government Boundary Commission). Staff is working to coordinate this work, which includes:

- Clarifying the implications of the overlap of JEO recommendations, HB 3337 conforming language and housekeeping edits;
- Determining timing of adoption of the HB 3337 amendments by the two cities and co-adoption by the county, JEO recommendation-related amendments by all three jurisdictions; and review and adoption of the housekeeping edits.

Staff intends to provide an overall plan that addresses these issues to the JEO at their September 30, 2010, meeting.

ATTACHMENTS

- A. Work Plan from December 9, 2009, JEO packet
- B. Metro Plan Work Plan Issues Diagram

FOR MORE INFORMATION

Staff Contact: Greg Mott
Telephone: 726-3774
Staff E-Mail: gmott@ci.springfield.or.us

Staff Contact: Lisa Gardner
Telephone: 682-5208
Staff E-Mail: lisa.a.gardner@ci.eugene.or.us

Staff Contact: Kent Howe
Telephone: 682-3734
Staff E-Mail: Kent.Howe@co.lane.or.us

ATTACHMENT A

Metro Plan Work Plan Overview

November 2009

1. Regional Issue	Definition of Key Urban Services
2. General approach to resolve issue	Remove inconsistencies in Metro Plan text
3. Applicable Metro Plan Chapter(s) and Section(s)	<p>Chapter II, Fundamental Principles and Growth Management Policy Framework</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Section A, Fundamental Principles (#2 and 6) • Section C, Growth Management Goals (#1-2), Findings (#10-11) and Policies (#1, 3, 8-9, 12-13, 1-16 and 18-21); also Objective #11 • Section E, Urban and Urbanizable Land • Section F, River Road and Santa Clara Goals, Findings (#2), Objectives (#2-4) and Policies (#4) • Section G, Metro Plan Diagram <p>Chapter III, Specific Elements</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Section G, Public Facilities and Services (Goals and Findings) <p>Chapter IV, Metro Plan Review, Amendments and Refinements</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Goal • Findings (#1-2), Objectives (#1) and Policies (#1) <p>Chapter V, Glossary (Definition #24)</p>
Timeline	November to December 2009

Cost Estimate

No additional resources are anticipated to complete the Metro Plan Update. Tasks in this work plan are embedded within existing projects and activities, and will be undertaken with existing staff resources.

1. Regional Issue	Jurisdictional Autonomy
2. General approach to resolve issue	Implement HB 3337 and revise Metro Plan boundary
3. Applicable Metro Plan Chapter(s), Section(s)	<p>Preface</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Metro Plan Updates • Periodic Review <p>Chapter I, Introduction</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Background • Purpose (#11) • Metro Plan Contents (Fundamental Principles; Metro Plan Review, Amendments and Refinements; Appendices B-D; Use of the Metro Plan; Relationship to Other Plans, Policies and Reports; and General Assumptions and Findings) <p>Chapter II, Fundamental Principles and Growth Management Policy Framework</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Section A. Fundamental Principles (#2-4, 6-7) • Section C, Growth Management Goals, Findings (#3, 6 and 8) and Policies (#1-3, 5, 7-8, 15-21, 24 -25, 28 and 32); also Objectives #6-7 • Section D, Jurisdictional Responsibility • Section E, Urban and Urbanizable Land • Section F, River Road and Santa Clara Goals, Findings, Objectives and Policies • Section G, Metro Plan Diagram <p>Ch III, Specific Elements</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Section A. Residential Land Use and Housing Element • Section B. Economic Element • Section C. Environmental Resources Element • Section D. Willamette River Greenway, River Corridors, and Waterways Element • Section E. Environmental Design Element (Policy #E.3) • Section F. Transportation Element (note: impacted by RTSP [state] and RTP [federal] updates) • Section G. Public Facilities and Services Element • Section H. Parks and Recreation Facilities Element • Section J. Energy Element [note: references to “metropolitan area” will need to be updated/reviewed if definition changes] • K. Citizen Involvement (Goals, Findings #10, Objectives and Policies #K.4-6) <p>Ch IV, Metro Plan Review, Amendments and Refinements</p> <p>Ch V, Glossary</p>
Timeline	January to April 2011

1. Regional Issue	Urban Transition Agreements
2. General approach to resolve issue	Develop administrative process to address citizen concerns.
3. Applicable Metro Plan Chapter(s), Section(s)	<p>Chapter I, Introduction</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Background • Purpose (#3-5) • Metro Plan Contents (Relationship to Other Plans) <p>Chapter II, Fundamental Principles and Growth Management Policy Framework</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Section B. Metropolitan Goals (Growth Management #1-3) • Section C, Growth Management Goals (#1-3), Findings (#6-7 and 10-11) and Policies (#4, 11,18-19 and 31); also Objective #11 [note: entire Section may need review and updating] • Section D, Jurisdictional Responsibility • Section E, Urban and Urbanizable Land • Section F, River Road and Santa Clara Goals, Findings, Objectives and Policies • Section G, Metro Plan Diagram, Land Use Designation (Urban Growth Boundary, Metro Plan Plan Boundary) <p>Chapter III, Specific Elements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Section C. Environmental Resources Element • Section E. Environmental Design Element Findings (#2) • Section G. Public Facilities and Services Element • Section K. Citizen Involvement Goals, Findings, Objectives and Policies <p>Chapter IV, Metro Plan Review, Amendments and Refinements</p> <p>Chapter V, Glossary (#2, 43, 54 and 56)</p>
Timeline	January to December 2010

1. Regional Issue	Dispute Resolution
2. General approach to resolve issue	Work with jurisdictions to revise process.
3. Applicable Metro Plan Chapter(s), Section(s)	<p>Chapter I, Introduction (Purpose #7)</p> <p>Chapter II, Fundamental Principles and Growth Management Policy Framework</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Section B. Metropolitan Goals (Metro Plan Review, Amendments and Refinements #1) <p>Chapter III, Specific Elements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Section K. Citizen Involvement Element Findings (#9-10), Objectives and Policies (#K.4) <p>Chapter IV, Metro Plan Review, Amendments and Refinements</p>
Timeline	January to December 2010

1. County Issue [new]	Farmland and Open Space Protection
2. General approach to resolve issue	Begin the process to preserve farmland and open space within the county.
3. Applicable Metro Plan Chapter(s), Section(s)	<p>Chapter I, Introduction</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Metro Plan Contents (Appendices C-D), Relationship to Other Plans (Relationship to Lane County Rural Comprehensive Plan) <p>Chapter II, Fundamental Principles and Growth Management Policy Framework</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Section A. Fundamental Principles (#2-3) • Section B Metropolitan Goals (Growth Management #1-3 and Environmental Resource #1-2)) • Section C, Growth Management Goals (#1-3), Findings (#2) and Policies; also Objectives • Section E, Urban and Urbanizable Land • Section F, River Road and Santa Clara Goals, Findings, Objectives and Policies • Section G, Metro Plan Diagram <p>Ch III, Specific Elements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Section A. Residential Land Use and Housing Element (Residential Land Supply and Demand Finding #11-12, Policy #A.2; Residential Density Policy #A.10; Design and Mixed Use Policy #A.24) • Section C. Environmental Resources Element • Section D. Willamette River Greenway, River Corridors, and Waterways Element • Section E. Environmental Design Element Goal #2; Findings (#4-6), Objectives (#5) and Policies (#E.2) • Section H. Parks and Recreation Facilities Element
Timeline	December 2009 to July 2010

ATTACHMENT B

METRO PLAN WORK PLAN ISSUES DIAGRAM

May 18, 2010

Springfield, Lane County and Eugene staff are 80% complete with conceptual/draft language proposals amending the Metro Plan and addressing the JEO recommendations (Box I). Staff is asking the JEO to support amendments to the Metro Plan that include the JEO recommendations, HB 3337 conforming language and housekeeping edits, all of which are necessary but cannot be accomplished independent of the other as noted below.

