

# EUGENE CITY COUNCIL AGENDA ITEM SUMMARY



---

## Work Session: City Manager Evaluation Follow-Up

---

Meeting Date: September 27, 2010  
Department: Central Services-Human Resources  
*www.eugene-or.gov*

Agenda Item Number: C  
Staff Contact: Denise Smith  
Contact Telephone Number: 541-682-5731

---

### **ISSUE STATEMENT**

This agenda item is a work session on a new performance rating system for the City Manager as well as to look at a standard formula for compensation.

### **BACKGROUND**

City Manager Jon Ruiz had his annual performance review on July 26, 2010. At that time the council discussed looking at a new performance rating system as well as a new compensation structure for the City Manager. The council directed staff to draft a compensation proposal which includes a new performance rating system and a connection to annual compensation adjustments.

Attached are two documents for council review. The first document – Attachment A - outlines a proposed three-tier rating system to be used in future evaluations of the City Manager. Definitions are also provided to ensure consistency and common understanding of the ratings.

The second document – Attachment B - provides a new compensation formula to determine future salary increases for the City Manager based on his performance, while providing a consistent application based on ratings.

### **RELATED CITY POLICIES**

The City Council has historically conducted an annual performance review and compensation adjustment for the City Manager. This process is a continuation of the July 26, 2010, process for City Manager Ruiz.

### **COUNCIL OPTIONS**

- Review and adopt the rating system proposed in Attachment A.
- Adopt alternate rating system.
- Review and adopt the compensation structure outlined in Attachment B.
- Adopt alternate compensation structure.
- Other related items the council determines appropriate.

### **CITY MANAGER'S RECOMMENDATION**

The City Manager recommends acceptance of the ratings definitions and compensation formula.

### **SUGGESTED MOTION**

Move to (a) approve the ratings definitions in Attachment A, the compensation amendment to the City Manager's contract in Attachment B, and (b) direct the Council President to sign the amendment to the City Manager's contract attached as Attachment C.

### **ATTACHMENTS**

- A. City Manager Proposed Performance Review Ratings
- B. City Manager Proposed Performance Review Compensation Adjustment
- C. Proposed First Amendment to Employment Contract

### **FOR MORE INFORMATION**

Staff Contact: Denise Smith, Human Resources

Telephone: 541-682-5731

Staff E-Mail: [denise.d.smith@ci.eugene.or.us](mailto:denise.d.smith@ci.eugene.or.us)

City Manager Proposed Performance Review Ratings

Fair

value=1

- Performance meets acceptable standards, expectations, and requirements
- The employee requires an appropriate amount of direction from Council to achieve organizational goals.
- Initiative and outputs are generally adequate, and the employee is capable and knowledgeable in most aspects of his or her work
- Employee is expected to continue development of new knowledge, skills or abilities
- The employee meets job performance standards in all or most areas.

Good

value=2

- This is the level of good, sound performance. The employee has contributed positively to organizational goals.
- The employee is reliable in attaining expected results, and is timely and efficient.
- Consistently meets standards and expectations, regularly exceeds them, and shows initiative in additional assignments
- Employee strives to grow professionally through development activities
- Solid performance that consistently fulfills expectations and at times may exceed expectations.
- The employee has exceeded expectations in critical areas and shows sustained support of organizational goals.
- Demonstrates very high level performance in all areas of responsibility.
- 

Excellent

value=3

- Performance is noticeably superior; outstanding performance is clearly evident; performance is at a level that is a “stretch”
- Performance shows exceptional initiative to plan and anticipate problems, and employee takes appropriate independent action
- This is a level of very high-quality performance. The employee has performed so well that organizational goals have been achieved that would not have been otherwise

**ATTACHMENT B**

**City Manager Proposed Performance Review  
Compensation Adjustment**

Pursuant to section 5 of the amended Employment Agreement, the City Manager’s salary shall be adjusted as provided below. This adjustment shall be in addition to any cost of living adjustment provided by section \_\_ of the Employment Agreement.

Calculation of value of ratings:

- # of raters X value of each rating category added together= overall value (Values: fair = 1, good = 2 and excellent = 3.)
- Overall value divided by # of participants= Weighted average

Weighted average range	% increase
1-1.5	0%
1.6-2.5	3.5%
2.5-3	5%

Example:

Fair ratings = 2                      2 X 1=2  
Good ratings = 3                    3 X 2=6  
Excellent ratings = 3    3 X 3=9            Total=17 divided by 6 respondents = 2.8

**Compensation increase would be 5% based on the table above**

Additionally, the annual deferred compensation would be adjusted as follows, as long as performance has a rated average of 1.6 to 3:

- July 1, 2012 - +\$2,000
- July 1, 2013 - +\$2,000
- July 1, 2014 - +\$3,000
- July 1, 2015 - +\$3,000
- July 1, 2016 - +\$5,000

**First Amendment to  
EMPLOYMENT AGREEMENT**

**BETWEEN:** The City of Eugene (City)

**AND:** Jon R. Ruiz (Ruiz)

**RECITALS:**

**A.** In February of 2008, the City and Ruiz (“the parties”) entered into an Employment Agreement and agreed upon the terms of Ruiz’s employment as City Manager.

**B.** The parties wish to amend the terms of the 2008 Employment Agreement regarding performance evaluations and salary adjustments.

**AGREEMENTS:**

**1.** The parties agree to amend paragraph 5 of the 2008 Employment Agreement to read as follows:

“**5. Performance Evaluations and Salary Adjustments.** The City Council intends to review and evaluate Ruiz’s performance and salary annually, generally in April. The City Council may conduct additional reviews of Ruiz’s performance at any other time it deems appropriate. Adjustments to salary (other than COLAs governed by section 4.2) and deferred compensation shall occur as provided in Exhibit I attached to this Amendment.”

**2.** All other terms and conditions of the 2008 Employment Agreement remain in full force and effect.

**CITY OF EUGENE, OREGON**

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
Mike Clark  
President of the City Council

\_\_\_\_\_  
Jon R. Ruiz

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

## Exhibit 1

### City Manager Performance Review Compensation Adjustment

Pursuant to section 5 of the amended Employment Agreement, the City Manager's salary shall be adjusted as provided below. This adjustment shall be in addition to any cost of living adjustment provided by section 4.2 of the Employment Agreement.

Calculation of value of ratings:

- # of raters X value of each rating category added together= overall value (Values: fair = 1, good = 2 and excellent = 3.)
- Overall value divided by # of participants= Weighted average

Weighted average range	% increase
1-1.5	0%
1.6-2.5	3.5%
2.5-3	5%

Example:

Fair ratings = 2                      2 X 1=2

Good ratings = 3                     3 X 2=6

Excellent ratings = 3    3 X 3=9            Total=17 divided by 6 respondents = 2.8

**Compensation increase would be 5% based on the table above**

Additionally, the annual deferred compensation would be adjusted as follows, as long as performance has a rated average of 1.6 to 3:

- July 1, 2012 - +\$2,000
- July 1, 2013 - +\$2,000
- July 1, 2014 - +\$3,000
- July 1, 2015 - +\$3,000
- July 1, 2016 - +\$5,000